Yvonne Badenhorst

From: Leonora Mckenzie <leomack596@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, 10 February 2023 14:34

To: Nicolle Oosthuizen; Henk Schouten; Tamaryn Melville; Etienne Cilliers; Yvonne Badenhorst; Dune

Delport; innocentia makgoe; Maki Malepe; lindap@law.co.za; Ben; Cindy Kilian; D H Pieters; D R

Mahlalela; durell; Cornelius Silaule; Kedibone Masenya; Christinah Msimango; Reception;

'rolls@jaa.org.za'

Subject: MONDAY 13 FEB 2023- UNOPPOSED MOTIONS ROLL AND DIRECTIVE- DU PLESSIS AJ

Attachments: DU PLESSIS AJ - Unopposed motions directive copy.docx; DU PLESSIS AJ - UNOPPOSED 13 FEBRUARY

2023.rtf

Dear All

Kindly find the roll and practice directive for Monday's court

Please note that the practice directive applies for Wednesday and Friday.

Kind Regards Leonora



High Court of South Africa Gauteng Division 06 June – 10 June 2022

Notice regarding the implementation of the Judge President's Directives for the unopposed motion court before Acting Judge E du Plessis

- 1. All unopposed motions will be heard in the open court.
- 2. Draft orders must be ready to be handed up in court.
- 3. **Divorces** will be dealt with on paper if all the requirements, as set out in the applicable Directives issued by the Judge President, are adhered to. In this regard, the Directive of 11 June 2021 (from paragraph 135) is important. The Directive emphasizes that where the interest of minor children is involved, settlement agreements must be endorsed by the Office of the Family Advocate. Where divorces are settled, written confirmation of both parties, or their legal representatives, must be uploaded wherein it is confirmed that the parties are aware that the matter is proceeding on the application date.
- 4. A **comprehensive practice note** stating the nature of the application and the date on which the application is on the roll, should be filed on CaseLines. Counsel must have regard to the Judge President's Directive of 11 June 2021 (from paragraph 127). The note should set out:
 - a. Was the matter previously postponed? If so, why.
 - b. If the papers consist of more than just the application in court, the application must be adequately identified by reference to the page number to avoid a search for it.
 - c. If service by the Sheriff was not necessary, it must be clearly stated why not, and the form of service must be identified by referring to a page number / CaseLines number.
 - d. If personal service is required (e.g. sequestration, divorces, Rule 46A applications), it must be stated. If this was not done, reasons must be provided. No R46A order will be granted without proof of personal service by the Sheriff.
 - e. Where practice or legal requirements must be complied with (e.g. R46A applications), compliance with those requirements must be clearly stated and identified in the papers with reference to the page numbers / CaseLine numbers and paragraphs.
 - f. If the papers are in order, this must be clearly stated. If not, any issues must be identified.
 - g. The note must give an email address and a cell number of the Legal Practitioner/Counsel moving the matter.
- 5. If the court must consider other information, the practice notice may state it.
- 6. Failure to file a practice notice in compliance with this notice, dealing with each paragraph separately, may result in the application being struck from the roll.
- 7. In applications where heads of argument must be filed, a failure to do so may result in the application being struck off the roll.
- 8. Counsel is requested to regard the "Widely Shared Note" function on CaseLines, as queries may be raised using this function.
- 9. Communication with this office must be done by email to LMckenzie@judiciary.org.za
- 10. Introductions at 9h40 in chambers, 1st floor Palace, Chambers 115

E du Plessis Acting Judge of the High Court

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA (GAUTENG DIVISION PRETORIA) (UNOPPOSED MATTERS UPLOADED ON CASELINES)

13 FBRUARY 2023

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE DU PLESSIS J

1.	EX PARTE: A J BEZUIDENHOUT			019681/22
2.	T OOSTHUIZEN	VS	M L OOSTHUIZEN	021053/22
3.	C MEIRING	VS	L S MEIRING	036177/22
4.	LUMI PLANT HIRE	VS	GLOWING SUNSET TRADING	043728/22
5.	NOCROS SA	VS	FTB TRADING ENTERPRISE	032581/22
6.	TOTALLY BOARD LTD	VS	GLUE PRODUCTS	037475/22
7.	C H FOURIE	VS	J D FOURIE	010349/22
8.	SAHL LTD	VS	T MATSIMELA	009091/22

9. FNB BANK LTD	VS	CRADLE PEKANNEUT	B39155/22
10. ABSA BANK LTD	VS	A H MOORE	5482/22
11. FNB BANK LTD	VS	M O MMUTLANE+1	13673/20
12. L G MODISE	VS	M SANDLANA+2	14038/19
13. EX PARTE: L L NORDEJEE+1			22563/22
14. J H RABIE	VS	RAF	44998/21
15. SB GUARANTEE	VS	K H MKHARI	29080/22
16. E S MAHLANGU	VS	V NKOSI+5	43615/21
17. B P NKGADIMA	VS	M E NKGADIMA	46808/20
18. L ROBERTS	VS	M J ROBERTS	8267/22
19. M S NDHLOVU	VS	M E PHOSHOKO	11908/20
20. L R NDLOVU	VS	MIN OF POLICE	94984/16

21. CHANGING TIDE	S VS	N T TSOTETSI	6368/22						
22. ABSA TRUST LTD) VS	RAF	13352/22						
23. N BISSET&ASS	VS	C J MAKHUBELA	55577/14						
	BY THE TIME THIS ROLL WAS FINALISED THE UNDERMENTIONED COURT ONLINE MATTERS WERE NOT DISPLAYING DOCUMENT ON CASELINE								
24. BMW FINANCIA	L VS	T E CHALLITA	019936/22						
25. BMW FINANCIAI	L LTD VS	D N SPURGEON	012648/22						
26. NEDBANK LTD	VS	K M MSIZA	008512/22						
27. NEDBANK LTD	VS	L O KGOBANE	011941/22						
28. SURVIVAL TYRE	VS	Q TRANS CC	033329/22						
29. DISCOVERY LIFE	VS	PROCAPITAL CONSULTING	048830/22						